



JUN 02-2005 THU 03:19 PM CANTOR COLBURN LLP

FAX NO. 8602860115

P. 01/06

CANTOR COLBURN LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS
55 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002
tel: (860) 286-2929
fax: (860) 286-0115

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

DATE: June 2, 2005

TO: ISSUE FEE

COMPANY: U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FAX NO.: (703) 746-4000

TEL. NO.:

FROM: Pat DiGregorio (Cantor Colburn LLP)

OUR REF: GEM-0015 (121127)

YOUR REF: USSN 10/063,531

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES SENT
(INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET): 6

COMMENTS: TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Please find enclosed the Base Issue Fee and Publication Fee Transmittal Form for U.S. Serial No. 10/063,531. Also find enclosed a "Fee Address" Indication Form (1 page) and "Comments on Statement of Reasons For Allowance" (3 pages).

Please charge the Base Issue Fee and Publication Fee (\$1,700) to Deposit Account 07-0845.

Thank you,

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

If there are any problems with this transmission,

please call (860) 286-2929 and ask for P.DiGregorio

IMPORTANT: This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that reading, disseminating, distributing or copying this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.



Appln. No. 10/063,531
Docket No. 121127/GEM-0015

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appln. No.: 10/063,531 : Confirmation No.: 9574
Applicant: Kevin Franklin King : Group Art Unit: 2623
Filed: May 2, 2002 : Examiner: Bhatnagar, Anand P.
Docket No.: GEM-0015/121127 :

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR IMAGE RECONSTRUCTION

May 31, 2005

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

Provided herewith are comments on the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance presented in the Notice of Allowance dated March 9, 2005.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop _____, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or facsimile transmitted to (703) 746-4000 Express Mail No. _____ to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Patricia DiGregorio
Name

Patricia DiGregorio
Signature

June 2, 2005
Date

Appl. No. 10/063,531
Docket No. 121127/GEM-0015

The Examiner remarks that King et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0055330 A1), the closest prior art, does not disclose certain elements of independent Claims 1, 7, 13 and 19. Paper 20050306, page 2.

While Applicant agrees that presented claims pertain to allowable subject matter, Applicant respectfully submits that to the extent that the Examiner's remarks suggest or state that certain limitations or combinations not found in the prior art are present in each and every allowable claim, whether or not specific language to that effect is found in every allowable claim, the record as a whole must be considered in addition to the Examiner's remarks, and, to the extent that the record as a whole is clear and complete, it shall control the interpretation of any and all allowable claims.


More specifically, the Examiner's statement of reasons may be interpreted to imply that features found in the specification only, in the dependent claims only, or in some but not all independent claims only, are part of all of the allowable claims, when in fact the record clearly reflects that there are claims not so limited. For example, elements may be found in one claim but not in another. Accordingly, the other claims, and claims dependent from the other claims that do not recite the same as the one claim, are not so limited. More specifically, allowed independent Claims 1, 7, 13 and 19 do not necessarily recite all elements found in all independent claims. Accordingly, these claims, and claims dependent from these claims that do not recite the same, are not so limited.

Appln. No. 10/063,531
Docket No. 121127/GFM-0015

Respectfully submitted,

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

Applicant's Attorneys

By: 

David Arnold
Registration No: 48,894
Customer No. 23413

Date: May 31, 2005
Address: 55 Griffin Road South, Bloomfield, Connecticut 06002
Telephone: (860) 286-2929
Fax: (860) 286-0115